

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,067	10/14/2003	Gordon L. Brown JR.	30922-2	4373
John B. Hardav	7590 09/17/2009	EXAMINER		
NEXSEN PRUET JACOBS & POLLARD, LLC			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
P.O. Box 10107 Greenville, SC 29603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/685,067	BROWN, GORDON L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit -			
	JEROME W. DONNELLY	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period for Reply		UTUVO) OD TUUDTV (20) DAVO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
, <u> </u>	,				
	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	_				
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	on. I-S JO-15 OWO	779			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. /-8 and	1 10 - 15				
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. / 6 is/are rejected. / 6 is/are rejected.	\$				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	•	ed			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	Se de	JEROME DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

W

Application/Control Number: 11/048,427

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 1-8, 10-15 are allowed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Younghusband in view of Karalius.

Younghusband teaches a device comprising a tube (13) having opposite ends and a cylindrical interior said tube having a degree of bendability. The device of Younghusband including a flexible rod in the form of a pipe cleaner and each end of the tube having a closure.

Younghusband does disclose his device as including a rod member having a cross section of a rectangular configuration.

Karalius teaches providing a pipe cleaner wherein the rod component of the device is rectangular.

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture at least a portion of the rod of Younghusband of a rectangular cross section for the purpose of providing an edge for the cutting of residue from the interior of the pipe.

The examiner further notes that to extrude tube is obvious in the art of tube manufacture and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 11/048,427 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

manufacture the tube of Younghusband of an extruded process and then put an end cap on said tube. The examiner hereby take Judicial notice above this process.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571)272-4975.

Em/Jerome Donnelly

September 1, 2009

